

How HUD Mortgage Policy Fed The Crisis

Subprime Loans Labeled 'Affordable'

By [Carol D. Leonnig](#)

Washington Post Staff Writer

Tuesday, June 10, 2008; Page A01

TOOLBOX

[Resize Text](#)
[Save/Share +](#)

[Print This](#)
[E-mail This](#)

WHO'S BLOGGING powered by [sphere](#)

[» Links to this article](#)

In 2004, as regulators warned that subprime lenders were saddling borrowers with mortgages they could not afford, the [U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development](#) helped fuel more of that risky lending.

Eager to put more low-income and minority families into their own homes, the agency required that two government-chartered mortgage finance firms purchase far more "affordable" loans made to these borrowers. HUD stuck with an outdated policy that allowed [Freddie Mac](#) and [Fannie Mae](#) to count billions of dollars they invested in subprime loans as a public good that would foster affordable housing.

Housing experts and some congressional leaders now view those decisions as mistakes that contributed to an escalation of subprime lending that is roiling the U.S. economy.

The agency neglected to examine whether borrowers could make the payments on the loans that Freddie and Fannie classified as affordable. From 2004 to 2006, the two purchased \$434 billion in securities backed by subprime loans, creating a market for more such lending. Subprime loans are targeted toward borrowers with poor credit, and they generally carry higher interest rates than conventional loans.

Today, 3 million to 4 million families are expected to lose their homes to foreclosure because they cannot afford their high-interest subprime loans. Lower-income and minority home buyers -- those who were supposed to benefit from HUD's actions -- are falling into default at a rate at least three times that of other borrowers.

"For HUD to be indifferent as to whether these loans were hurting people or helping them is really an abject failure to regulate," said Michael Barr, a [University of Michigan](#) law professor who is advising Congress. "It was just irresponsible."

Congress is expected to vote before its Fourth of July recess on legislation that would strip HUD of its regulatory authority over Fannie and Freddie and give it to a stronger regulator.

Fannie and Freddie finance about 40 percent of all U.S. mortgages, with \$5.3 trillion in outstanding debt. Owned by private shareholders but chartered by Congress, they are exempt from state and local taxes and receive an estimated \$6.5 billion-a-year federal subsidy because they can borrow money more cheaply than other investors. In return, they are expected to serve "public purposes," including helping to make home buying more affordable.

HUD officials dispute allegations that the agency encouraged abusive lending and sloppy underwriting standards that became the hallmark of the subprime industry. Spokesman Brian Sullivan said the agency and Congress wanted to increase homeownership among underserved families and could not have predicted that subprime lending would dominate the market so quickly.

"Congress and HUD policy folks were trying to do a good thing," he said, "and it worked."

Since HUD became their regulator in 1992, Fannie and Freddie each year are supposed to buy a portion of "affordable" mortgages made to underserved borrowers. Every four years, HUD reviews the goals to adapt to market changes.

In 1995, President Bill Clinton's HUD agreed to let Fannie and Freddie get affordable-housing credit for buying subprime securities that included loans to low-income borrowers. The idea was that subprime lending benefited many borrowers who did not qualify for conventional loans. HUD expected that Freddie and Fannie would impose their high lending standards on subprime lenders.

Related

Buying Subprime Securities

GRAPHIC | The Department of Housing and Urban Development allowed housing finance institutions Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to count billions of dollars they purchased in subprime securities as fulfilling their government mandate to help make buying a home more affordable. Here's how the mortgage giants' purchases of securities backed by subprime mortgages grew in recent years.

How Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae Work

GRAPHIC | Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae are government-chartered institutions that help create cash for more home lending. Here's how they can fit into the home-buying process:

From Our Partner



- [Obama Modifies 'Yes We Can' Message to Exclude Area Loser](#)
- [McCain Speechwriter Trying To Write Lines That Don't Lead To Creepy Smile](#)
- [Evolutionists Flock To Darwin-Shaped Wall Stain](#)
- [More Stories From The Onion](#)

» [Top 35](#)
 » [Most Popular on washingtonpost.com](#)

More in the Politics Section**2008 Fundraising**

See who is giving to the '08 presidential candidates.

- **Research Donations ...** [By Name, Occupation, Employer or Zip Code](#)
- [...By State](#)



Latest Politics Blog Updates

- **The Trail:** [Obama to Announce Energy, Environment Team Monday](#) (updated 1 hour ago)
- **The Fix:** [Obama Moves to Revolutionize White House Communications](#) (updated 3 hours ago)
- [Index of Politics Columns and Blogs | Opinions Section](#)

© 2008 The Washington Post Company

NEWS | POLITICS | OPINIONS | LOCAL | SPORTS | ARTS & LIVING | GOING OUT GUIDE

JOB'S | CARS | REAL ESTATE | RENTALS | SHOPPING

SEARCH: Web: Results by

[Search Archives](#)

washingtonpost.com : [About Us](#) | [Work for Us](#) | [Advertisers](#) | [Site Map](#) | [Search Terms](#) | [Topics Index](#) | [mywashingtonpost.com](#) | [Mobile](#) | [RSS](#) | [Widgets](#)

The Washington Post: [Subscribe](#) | [Home Delivery Service](#) | [Advertisers](#) | [PostPoints](#) | [Electronic Edition](#) | [Online Photo Store](#) | [The Washington Post Store](#) | [About The Post](#) | [National Weekly](#)

The Washington Post Company: [Information and Other Post Co. Websites](#)

© Copyright 1996- The Washington Post Company | [User Agreement and Privacy Policy](#) | [Rights and Permissions](#)

[Help](#) | [Contact Us](#)